JOURNAL OF SOCIAL RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT

www.jsrd.org.pk   editor@jsd.org.pk

REGIONAL DYNAMICS AND CHANGING SECURITY SCENARIO OF EUROPE: A CASE STUDY OF RUSSIAN- UKRAINIAN WAR

Anisa Rasheed[1], Zahid Yaseen[2] & Muhammad Muzaffar[3]

[1]MS Scholar Department of Politics and International Relations, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Politics & International Relations, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan
[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Politics & International Relations, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan

KEYWORDS	ABSTRACT
NATO, Russia, Ukraine, European Security, Regional, War	This study aimed at investigating how Russia-Ukraine war changed the regional dynamics and essentially the European security landscape, with an emphasis on geopolitical, geostrategic, and geo-economic implications imposed by conflict. The indirect impacts that further the war, besides the military confrontation, are economic stability, energy security, and even more general ideological contest between authoritarian and liberal state systems. This war has been revealed faintness in European security system and brought to bear the reassertion of perspectives of major power politics, with Russia trying to reassert its dominance and challenge that of US and NATO. This research is based on the qualitative methodology and various primary and secondary sources since it is concerned with complex relations between regional and global actors, mainly in a way the Russia-Ukraine conflict has changed security in Europe and put the current international order to the test. This study establishes that war has revealed weaknesses in European security architecture, the renewal of great power politics & the imperative of creating region-specific security frameworks that are more robust and functional.
ARTICLE HISTORY	**2024 Journal of Social Research Development**
Correspondence	**Zahid Yaseen**
Email:	zahid.yaseen@gcwus.edu.pk
DOI	

INTRODUCTION

The causes in disintegration of Russia and Ukraine include very complex and diverse historical, political, and economic elements fused in producing the present crisis of security in Europe. The crumbling of the Soviet Union in 1991 left a power vacuum, and that was what started the current instability in the geopolitics of the region. But Russia wanted to keep Ukraine from establishing closer ties with the EU and NATO and coiling it under its wings again. The annexation of Crimea

by Russia in 2014 and its subsequent support for separatist armies in eastern Ukraine engenders an eternal conflict causing havoc in human lives and costing money and dragging whole region into instability. After all, it has created more fault-lines in Europe, where some of the countries prioritized economic relations with Russia before the support for Ukraine sovereignty. Moreover, war has exposed the failure of global organizations like NATO and European Union in managing emergencies. The West lashed out against the brazen act and imposed the economic sanctions on the Ukraine for violating the international law along with jeopardizing the integrity and control of Ukrainian territory. The conflict between Russia and Ukraine is deeply rooted in historical, political, and strategic factors. Thus, behind the scenes, Moscow backed a pro-Russian rebellion in Eastern Ukraine.

This added fuel to the fire and has led to continuing bloody drawn-out war (Howorth, 2023). As Europe's major energy supplier, Russia has rendered the continent's response to the issue more complicated. Many countries depend on Russia for gas and oil imports. Thus, sanctions imposed have a relatively limited scope because of such dependence: it has simultaneously involved the strong urge towards energy diversification in Europe to limit the outside vulnerability that such dependence brings. Historical bonds to Russia; the extent of economic dependence; the security threats-these have made it impossible for the member states to act as one institution, causing the profound tensions all over Europe. The crisis exposes deficiencies in the Europe's overall security architecture, particularly in NATO collective defense policy and energy security. As a result, a conflict with a significant impact on both the regions and world is on in some form. Apart from creating instability in the area, the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has shown the cracks in the European security architecture. As such, the landscape for an immediate solution still seems obscure, while the conflict remains an acute threat to both regional stability and global security. Consequently, soaring costs in other forms arise from trade restrictions, sanctions, and damages to regional economies.

Neighboring states have also started feeling effects of Russian intervention: Belarus, Moldova, and the Baltic republics are troubled by the wolf at their door. All of these countries are lessened security-wise, having sought closer ties with Western powers because of instability thrust upon them by the war. The Ukrainian war will be a case study for this work to comprehensively inform deeper challenges posed by the conflict: challenges for international organizations, realignment of the alliances, regional security destabilization and emergence of security threats. The Russian-Ukrainian war has profoundly impacted the security landscape of Europe. Since Russia's full-scale invasion of the Ukraine in February 2022, geopolitical tensions have escalated, reshaping alliances, military strategies, and economic structures across continent in diverse circumstances. This study examines the evolving regional dynamics and the broader security implications for the Europe. These are questions about the future roles that NATO and the European Union are to play in the regional stability as result of the new Russian-Ukrainian conflict, which has revealed some of deficiencies of the current European security architecture. In addition to discussing some possible remedies for the contemporary situation, this study also investigates the future conflict potential in the area.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This study looks into how both the European Union (EU) and North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) have adjusted strategically to the emerging security environment in response to Russian ~Ukrainian conflict. The focus was on crisis management, cooperative security & counterterrorism before the conflict; however, hostilities have called for priority change toward collective defense and deterrence in particular reference to Russian aggressive maneuvers. Thus, emphasizing, at the same time, the speeding up of the process in the re~evaluation of defense postures resulting to increased military presence on NATO's eastern flank and greater attention to cooperation amid the EU and NATO as the war progressed. The posture transformation from both organizations is on occasion because of their increased awareness of threats transforming within Europe compelling both to readjust their security and defense plans to the new geopolitical realities (Bogzeanu, 2022). Concentrate on this Eastern battle and investigate the complex and dynamic relationship in the battle for Russia and Ukraine. In this connection, it presents the dynamic and reciprocally character of those so that both sides have to adjust their strategies, plans and tactics according to the other's moves.

Effects include all strategic choices of those involved regarding the influences and constraints relating to this continuing conflict, varying in intensity and limited. It is in these relations that this small~scale conflict should be understood to realize the much wider consequences it effects and how modern conflict is changing (Doverholt, 2022). The Russian invasion of the Ukraine, or rather the war, has in effect just reshaped the functioning of Commission~in sense of giving the commission extraordinary reverence for its decision~making as together with its administrative function in international relations, at stake. The study describes the exertions of the Commission to proactively impose sanction measures, coordinate responses, and advocate EU member states' cohesion. The developments in policy and strategy coming out of conflict and growing influence of Commission in very making of EU geopolitical environment are further explained (Håkansson, 2024). Conventional activities encompass conventional military operations, like airstrikes and force deployments on a broad scale. In addition to these, hybrid strategies like economic pressure, disinformation campaigns, and cyberattacks were employed to destabilize Ukraine and muddle international reactions.

The fact that the war is still raging and that there are numerous possible outcomes that limits the study. Another drawback is that neither NATO nor this study have a "B" plan in place to handle the potential for "Russia to win the war" and lessen its effects on security throughout Europe and the Euro~Atlantic region. Thus, it is imperative that this analytical study approach be continued to definitively pinpoint military lessons that could be applied for Europe's and the world's future security (Ioniță, 2023). The economic consequences of war have reshaped the European political landscape. Economic sanctions, military aid to Ukraine, and the substantial flow of refugees have all put political unity of European states to test. Scholars have tried to study how these conjoin to shift political alignments within EU and undermine European cohesion. The war has accentuated an already~heated debate about EU economic mutuality with Russia on energy matters, possibly provoking a rethink of Europe's reliance on Russian resources (Tugendhat & Wilson, 2024). This

has incited a review of NATO's strategic position and future in regard to European security. Those shared defense methods, denoted mainly in Article 5, regained worth when new military threats emerged for Europe.

According to analysts, the response in terms of cohesiveness and collective effort from NATO will go a long way toward preventing further Russian encroachments to NATO member states. While they are elevating the internal political ramifications of the war for Amnesty International, they are also changing the policies of the other European nations (Dempsey, 2024). Unlike the rest of Eastern Europe, this conflict has peculiar sensitivity in the Baltic region. The analysis has keenly focused on reorientation of defense spending and military preparedness within NATO members along Russia's western borders. The Baltic States have come to be at center of discussions about regional defense and deterrence because of their proximity to Russia and major security threats posed by their proximity to Russia (Tocci, 2023). The EU's migration policy has been brought into light, where some countries are further calling for fiercely stricter control while others believe that inclusion is needed. The political consequences of war build further tensions within Europe and continue to reshape the functioning of domestic politics across the continent. More broadly, the scholarly interest in the war as posing a challenge to global order is steadily growing. It has transformed not only Europe's security architecture, but relative position of global power in the world (Krook, 2024).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research has been conducted historical analysis with case study techniques. For this purpose, qualitative method has been used. Different resources like review of literature, primary Sources including official documents, statements, NATO official website nato.int, CSTO official website, and secondary sources which including articles, books, and news articles, journals, media reports, newspapers (Al Jazeera, Prada Digital Archive, The Moscow Times, TASS) to understand conflict's present dynamics and historical background. The qualitative approach is applied by theorizing and theory formation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Russia and Ukraine are two significant nations in Eastern Europe that share intricate historical, cultural, and geographic linkages. While bulk of Russia's geography spans Asia containing huge woods, tundra, and mountain ranges, the western portion of country, which consists of Moscow and Saint Petersburg, is part of Europe. Russia shares borders with 16 countries, including Asian countries like China and Mongolia and European ones like the Norway, Finland, Estonia, Latvia, Belarus, and Ukraine. Either its bold expansions in the Russian military posture and intentions have made Poland, Baltic States, and Ukraine important ones on the European security stage. The largest nation in Europe is Ukraine, which is situated southwest of Russia. Another important component of the Ukraine's economics and defense strategy is its southern Black Sea coastline. Ukraine is one of the biggest producers of grain in the world because to its fertile agricultural grounds in the eastern and southern regions, which are defined by plains and steppes. Ukraine's landscape becomes more diverse by Carpathian Mountains, which run along its western border. Thus, geographical factors have always played a major role in Ukraine and Russia's economic,

political, and cultural exchanges because of their shared border and access towards Black Sea (Achudume, 2023).

Historical Background of Russia & Ukraine

Twenty-three years ago, Russia and Ukraine became independent after seven decades as Soviet republics. Both Ukrainian Socialist Soviet Republic under Leonid Kravchuk & Russian Communist Federative Soviet Republic under Boris Yeltsin broke away from Soviet Union, and their mutual opposition to Soviet President Gorbachev was a major factor in the dissolution of the Soviet state in December 1991. The war underlines and sharpens their doubts about Russia's military aggression and political interference. Moreover, Russia has coasts in Pacific and Arctic oceans, which provide it with significant access to northern oceans and abundance of natural resources. The EU responded in solidarity and divided among its member states in dealing with refugee issues born out of war. The president of Russia, fought alongside president of Ukraine, Kiev, against president of Soviet Union, also named Moscow.

Russia & Post-Colonial World

Following the Soviet Union's collapse in 1991, the Union Republics all declared their independence. After World War II, the region of Kaliningrad was annexed, and the rest of the central region was assigned to the region of previous Russian Federal in Character Soviet Republic, which in middle of the 17th century resembled the Muscovite state. Only in the 19th century were the Far East and the North Caucasus taken. In process, Russia lost its status as a superpower and lost most of its imperial holdings. Nevertheless, with its huge area spanning between Black and Baltic Seas to the Pacific, in addition to its multiethnic and multi religious population, more than 20% are non-Russians, Russia remains empire. Russia's slide to great power status is hurting many Russians, mainly individuals in the political class. Even the harsh Stalinist regime evokes nostalgia in many people for the former Soviet Union and the Russian Empire. These tendencies were reinforced under the Vladimir Putin's leadership (Gu, 2023).

Association Agreement between EU & Ukraine

"We are present to sign the Association Agreements between each of your nations and European Union. These agreements are significant turning points in history of our relationship and of Europe in all, not just ordinary deals. People sacrificed their lives for this stronger connection to European Union in Kiev and elsewhere. On June 27, 2014, European Council President H. van Rompuy made this statement while the EU signed sectoral Association Agreements (AAs) with Georgia, Moldova, and Ukraine. One of the EU's most anticipated and controversial foreign agreements to date is the Association Agreement with Ukraine. The EU-Ukraine Association Agreement was signed in order to strengthen and establish EU's relationship with Ukraine. The agreement was reached at a crucial point in Ukraine's history, after Euromaidan movements of 2013–2014, which supported European integration over stronger ties with Russia. The agreement represents Ukraine's choice to conform to European norms and values on the social, political, and economic level. It is framework agreement that addresses every aspect of cooperation between EU and Ukraine. As a consequence, it contains terms addressing every aspect of EU operation, like economic help, convergence and partnership in

the area of common foreign and security policy, and cooperation in the areas of justice, freedom, and security (Sanders, 2023).

Particularly crucial is Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area (DCFTA). A majority of trade between the EU and Ukraine is included in this free trade area, which is an essential part of the EU-Ukraine Association Agreement. Ukraine must gradually integrate into EU Internal Market as result of its legally enforceable legislative approximation pledges, which aim for maximum degree of the liberalization feasible. Building a special kind of strategic alliance and economic integration among Ukraine and the EU is main objective of EU-Ukraine Association Agreement. The broader framework of the EU's European Neighbor Policy aligns with this. The EU-Ukraine Association Agreement, especially DCFTA, mandates that Ukraine apply, implement, integrate a predetermined set of EU acquis into its own legal system. Thus, the legislative approximation described in the EU-Ukraine Association Agreement/DCFTA is clearly intended to achieve the economic integration rather than as a goal unto itself. In order to allow Ukraine to (partially) join the EU Internal Market, this legislative approximation process aims to address non-tariff barriers, create a common legal space, and create an efficient and reliable regulatory framework for the business and investment.

Impact of Russia-Ukraine War on Neighboring Country

The growing presence of NATO: NATO is expanding the strength of its forces in Eastern Europe, mainly in Bulgaria, Slovakia, Poland, and Romania. Finland and Sweden are applied for NATO membership. This involves deploying more soldiers, tanks, and airplanes. Nuclear threats from Russia: Russia has consciously enhanced the possibility of using nuclear weapons, mainly to deter Western Allies from providing Ukraine with military assistance and to scared decision-makers. The Russian president even expressed an intention to include Belarus in nuclear conversation. Nuclear weapons have returned to global power struggle as consequence of such brinkmanship. Russia's neighbors already have sufficient reason to be afraid of Russian predator, even if there is no nuclear threat aspect. They believe Putin would consider attacking other regions if Ukraine doesn't stop him. Small nations like Moldova and Georgia and diplomatic companions of Moscow such as Kazakhstan, might be afraid to become Putin's next target (Wójtowicz, 2024). Influence on Energy Security in EU: With the EU aiming to lower its reliance on Russian gas, conflict has brought attention to how crucial energy security is in the area. Thus, it grains export difficulties from the Ukraine, supply chain problems, along with sanctions all contributed to high inflation in neighboring economies.

Regional Security Complex Theory

Based on Regional Security Complex Theory proposed by Barry Buzan, Ole Waever, and Jaap de Wilde in 1983, this investigation is conducted. The global safety should be analyzed from a regional viewpoint, according to the theory, in order to theoretically justify the creation of world regions. The security complex is defined by advocates as "coalition of governments whose main security issues are enough tied collectively that national security cannot properly be examined apart from one another." The basis for these regional security centers is two diverse and enduring forms of security relations among states: amity and enmity. Partnership and expectation of safety

or support are just two examples of the many relationships that make up amity. Anger, on the other hand, denotes a relationship marked by distrust and anxiety. These linkages may arise from border or ideological conflicts, and historical ties. The theory of the regional security complex underwent a change in 1998 when it was described as group of units whose main securitization, DE securitization, or processes are so interconnected that it is hard to evaluate or manage security concerns separately.

This suggested that security is socially created rather than objective, and that nations can garner support for their designation by framing a threat as existential and demanding quick action. The new concept placed emphasis on the importance of non-state players in international relations and prioritized other security sectors over military security. While nations are undoubtedly the primary security goals, other units may have a significant, if not direct, impact in particular areas (Al-Saadi, 2023). Violence in form of conflicts characterizes relations between the major powers in region. This situation shows that states create groups to ease the security issue because they see each other as possible threats and they believe Putin would consider attacking other regions if Ukraine doesn't stop him. The second type is a security regime, when governments take action to reduce tensions and address the security issue while still considering one another as a possible danger. The third is a multilateral security community, where nations don't desire or expect other parties to act violently. Thus, according to the theory's proponents, the security complex can be eliminated through cooperation and integration procedures that reduce anarchic subdivisions of states to single actor.

Securitization is important theoretical term for analyzing multiple aspects of regional security, including threats to specific states or region overall. Global powers have ability to profoundly impact regional security complex. It is implied by penetration that an outside force participates in and significantly shapes the security framework of the area. When foreign nations join ties with the governments that form the regional security complex, penetration takes effect. External forces receive and modify the role those regional entities play in creating the social structure of the region. The Russian president even expressed an intention to include Belarus in the nuclear conversation. Nuclear weapons have returned to global power struggle as consequence of such brinkmanship. Russia's neighbors already have sufficient reason to be afraid of Russian predator, even if there is no nuclear threat aspect. The theory is relevant to the inquiry because Ukraine and Russia are both located in Easter region of Europe, and their security concerns are connected to the point where it is impossible to think of their national security as separate. However, Russia is feeling uncertain and is going to stop at none to feel secure as the NATO and the West grow on the Eastern region.

The Role of NATO & EU

Due to the Ukraine crisis, many experts have come to the conclusion that the security environment in Europe is drastically changing. Game changer, wake-up call, and paradigm shift are terms used by the former NATO Secretary General Rasmussen and General Breedlove, the Supreme Allied Commander of North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO], to suggest that Russia's actions toward Ukraine are not a singular incident but rather part of significant shift in Russia's policy and attitude

toward the West (Baryshnikov, 2024). The Association Agreement between the EU and Ukraine had an unusually unstable past and bigger impact than other bilateral agreements. President Yanukovych was overthrown in February 2014 after his sudden refusal to sign the Agreement in late 2013 sparked huge demonstrations in Ukraine. In this linking, Russia was therefore prompted to take Crimea as a result, which encouraged rebellion in southeast Ukraine. Thus, it would be an understatement to say clearly that this Agreement has brought about one of the most complicated political, security, and economic scenarios in Europe in many years: one in which legal arguments exclusive to the Agreement came into the conflict with (geo-) political perspectives (Chachashvili-Bolotin, 2024).

CONCLUSION

The Russia-Ukraine war directly and indirectly affects the security configuration of Europe and the continental balance of power. As such, this conflict has generated many implications that could bring focus to weaknesses in the European Security architecture. In addition, it has created a strong realization of the need to operationalize a more robust and resilient security framework. It has also accentuated the need to address root causes of conflicts in first place, historical disputes, territorial war, and ideological concerns. The escalation in relations among US, Russia and NATO brings into view a sizeable alteration on geopolitical map of Europe. Given that there is nothing but war, it too has allowed movement of troops and military prepare into Poland, Slovakia, Romania and Bulgaria to strengthen the eastern flank of NATO. The renewed bilateral relationships and NATO were, thus, prime outcome of post-war situation. Thus, by its increased defense spending and strengthening of respective defense capabilities, Europe has shown amazing historic solidarity against Russia blatant threat of aggression.

As confronted with a higher threat assessed by the eastern European partnership nation-states and a more divided commitment to strategic goals of NATO, the era before the war is gone. In history, Finland and Sweden, the latest ex-aligned countries, now members of NATO, certainly mark an exception in their security policies. This act accentuates how much the conflict between Russia and Ukraine has now shifted the fulcrum for security reassessment towards Europe. Such a devastating crisis has exposed before the eyes of Europe all the dependency and vulnerability factors within the energy space. Europe has always ensured specialization and energy independence, most notably since the time Russia started using oil resources as the tool of geopolitics, and the dependency on Russian supply has come very much into existence. This realization has driven the introduction of other energy sources and the elimination of energy supplies originating from Russia. The war has since morphed into a matter of national identity and survival for Ukraine. Thus, the outside world has admired the way Ukraine has withstood the ferocity of military and economic assistance from the foreign lands.

However, this long warfare has left a heavy toll on humanity and burdened the economy with such staggering detriments that postwar reconstruction and reconciliation become problems. This war also sets the dangerous precedent for other countries that are likely to be invaded to weigh their independence and autonomy against protection at all costs. The war between Russia and Ukraine

has struck a chord in the importance of international cooperation in the search for peaceful avenues through which they can step in toward preventing aggression. Whether from military assistance to economic sanctions, such manifestations indeed present the magic of collective Western solidarity. But the divisions in the international community are revealed such as in these countries that decide neutrality or siding with Russia. These schisms, therefore, should be waged to create a much more united and functional order to avert future clashes. The principles of war will outstep Europe and plunge a multitude of issues into management of geopolitical rivalries and peace settlements in an increasingly multipolar world.

Recommendations

1. European governments, acting in the spirit of a new security agenda, should concentrate on building alliances and collaborations within the European Union and NATO. With the view of countering the traditional & hybrid warfare methods applied in Russia-Ukraine conflict, there has to be an enhancement of the intelligence sharing, joint military exercise, and cyber security activities.

2. Each European state should reassess its national defense budgets and plans in order to reflect the realities of the international security environment. Such modernized military capabilities and increased spending on the defense should therefore provide an effective deterrent to any aggressor.

3. Europe must lessen its dependence on the Russian energy supply. The dependence must also reduce the threats from energy coercion by giving priorities in spending on energy efficiency, independent gas suppliers, and local energy cooperation institutions.

4. Fostering diplomatic relations shall therefore encourage talks and agreements for resolution of current disputes, while the promotion of conditions for lasting peace and security in region shall be facilitated through interactions with neighbors and global institutions such as the United Nations.

5. Much ongoing military, economic, and humanitarian support is required to make Ukraine more resistant to aggressions by Russia than it has already faced. In addition, taking action in favor of other East European countries facing similar threats will benefit security structure, even of the whole region.

6. It was already mentioned in the words of a famous Indian philosopher and social reformer that "it cannot be denied that hybrid warfare includes everything from disinformation to cyberattacks," as witnessed in Russia-Ukraine war. Only then will Europe have way forward to develop counter-hybrid warfare techniques and raise public awareness about them for societal stability and democratic institutions.

REFERENCE

Achudume, T. (2023). The Russia-Ukraine War: Historical Factors of the War and Its Effect in the International Community. *The International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review*, 6(01), 89-102.

Al-Saadi, N. (2023). Russian-Ukrainian War's Effects on World Economy. *Journal of Exploratory Studies in Law and Management*, 10(1), 8-21.

Anghel, V., & Džankić, J. (2023). Wartime EU: consequences of the Russia–Ukraine war on the enlargement process. *Journal of European Integration*, 45(3), 487-501.

Baryshnikov, S. (2024). *The Energy security in Europe during the Russia-Ukraine war. European Journal of Energy Studies*, 45(1), 59-74

Bogzeanu, C. (2022, December). Nato And Eu Strategic Revision. Contextual Factors Before and After the Russian–Ukrainian War. In Proceedings of The International Scientific Conference Strategies XXI. Volume XVIII (pp. 386-398). Carol I National Defence University Publishing House.

Chachashvili-Bolotin, S. (2024). The Russian invasion of the Ukraine and the strengthening of Ukrainian identity among former Soviet immigrants from Ukraine: Israel as case study. *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 40(1), 56-70.

Dempsey, J. (2024). *NATO's response to Russian aggression: A defense strategy in flux. Journal of Transatlantic Relations*, 17(2), 41-55.

Doverholt, T. (2022). Dynamic interaction in a limited war: A single case study of the Russian-Ukrainian dynamic interaction in the conflict in eastern Ukraine.

Duiunova, T., Voznyk, M., Koretskyi, S., Chernetska, O., & Shylinhov, V. (2024). The International humanitarian law and ecocide: the war in the Ukraine as a case study. *European Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 14(1), 14-23.

Ellison, J., Cox, M., Hanhimäki, J. M., Harrison, H. M., Ludlow, N. P., Romano, A., ... & Zubok, V. (2023). The war in Ukraine. *Cold War History*, 23(1), 121-206.

Gu, Y. (2023). The adverse effects of the outbreak of Russia-Ukraine conflict on the energy supply system of Europe. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 8, 1231-1238.

Håkansson, C. (2024). The Ukraine war and the emergence of the European commission as the geopolitical actor. *Journal of European Integration*, 46(1), 25-45.

Howorth, J. (2023). The Ukraine war and its implications for European security. *Wildfried Marten Center for European Studies*, 1-23.

Krook, M. (2024). *The political consequences of the Russia-Ukraine war on EU migration policy. European Political Science Review*, 15(1), 45-63.

Mader, M. (2024). Increased support for collective defence in times of threat: European public opinion before and after Russia's invasion of Ukraine. *Policy Studies*, 45(3-4), 402-422.

Mandić, J., & Klarić, D. (2023). Case study of the russian disinformation campaign during the war in Ukraine–propaganda narratives, goals, and impacts. *National security and future*, 24(2), 97-140.

Meister, S., Nič, M., Kirova, I., & Blockmans, S. (2023). Russia's War in Ukraine: Rethinking the EU's Eastern Enlargement and Neighborhood Policy.

Paet, R. (2024). The security implications of Russia's aggression on the Baltic states and Eastern Europe. *Journal of Baltic Studies*, 55(3), 134-149.

Parízek, M. (2023). Worldwide media visibility of NATO, the European Union, and the United Nations in connection to Russia-Ukraine war. *Czech Journal of International Relations*, 58(1), 15-44.

Rachman, G. (2023). *The geopolitical aftermath of the Russia-Ukraine war. Foreign Affairs*, 102(4), 78-90.

Sanders, D. (2023). Ukraine's third wave of military reform 2016–2022–building a military able to defend Ukraine against the Russian invasion. *Defense & Security Analysis*, 39(3), 312-328.

Smith, R., & Peterson, S. (2023). *NATO's strategic response to Russia-Ukraine conflict: Reinforcing the Eastern flank. NATO Defense Journal*, 45(1), 21-34.

Tocci, N. (2023). The changing role of the European Union in defense: From passive to active power in the face of Russian aggression. *European Foreign Affairs Review*, 28(2), 109-122.

Tugendhat, T., & Wilson, R. (2024). Sanctions and the European political landscape: The Russia-Ukraine war's economic impact. *Journal of European Economics*, 42(3), 175-189.

Wójtowicz, A. (2024). EU Energy Security After Russia's Invasion of Ukraine–Substance, Strategy and Lobbying. *Studia Europejskie*, 28(2), 157-171.